# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	AMINING AUTHORITY	,		
To: JOHN K. HARROP DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N.W. SUITE 300 SOUTH		PCT written opinion		
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004			(PCT Rule 66)	
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	0 5 SEP 2001	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 5269.01	" "		REPLY DUE within TWO months from the above date of mailing	
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US00/29809	27 OCTOBER 2000		27 OCTOBER 1999	
International Patent Classification (IPC) IPC(7): H04N 7/173 and US Cl.: 723	or both national classific 5/87	ation and IPC		
Applicant DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS,	INC.			
I X Basis of the opinion  II Priority  III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability  IV Lack of unity of invention  V X Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI X Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application  VIII Certain observations on the international application				
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.  When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.7(d).				
How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.				
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.  For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.  For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.				
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.  4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 27 FEBRUARY 2002				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/U	is —————	Authorized - C		
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks		Authorized officer		
Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231		CHRISTOPHER	GRANT Y III ING & ING A	
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 305-75				

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (July 1998)\*

9-12-01 Wen

International application No.

PCT/US00/29809

l. B	asis of	f the opinion		
1. Wit	th regard	to the elements of the intern	national annlication:*	
x	٠	nternational application as	• •	
x	the de	lescription:	-	
	pages	s 1-89		, as originally filed
	pages		·	, filed with the demand
	pages	NONE NONE	, filed with the letter	of
x	the cla	laims:		
ئتا	pages	00.100		, as originally filed
	pages	NONE	, as amended (together	
	pages	NONE		, filed with the demand
	pages	NONE	, filed with the letter of	
x	the dr	rawings:		
لث		rawings: ;1-53		as amininally filed
		NONE		, as originally filed, filed with the demand
	. •		, filed with the letter of	, INCU WILLI UK UÇILININ
لتت				
X		quence listing part of the	•	
		NONE		
		NONE NONE	Ch. L	, filed with the demand
	pages	NONE	, filed with the letter of _	
	the lang or 55.3)	guage of the translation furni ).	the international application (under Rule	inary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/
drav	wn on th	he basis of the sequence list		tional application, the written opinion was
L	contain	ned in the international a	application in printed form.	
	filed to	ogether with the internati	ional application in computer readable fo	orm.
	furnish	ned subsequently to this	Authority in written form.	
			Authority in computer readable form.	
	The sta	atement that the subseque	ently furnished written sequence listing do	nes not an hevand the disclosure in the
	The stat	atement that the information	d has been furnished.  on recorded in computer readable form is ide	
	occi iu	umished. mendments have resulted		amen which separate using ins
4.۱۵	(J			
1	<b>词</b> "	the description, pages	NONE	
J		the claims, Nos.	NONE	
'	_	the drawings, sheets/fig		
5.	This op beyond	ninion has been drawn as if d the disclosure as filed, as	if (some of) the amendments had not been ms indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 76	nade, since they have been considered to go (0.2(c)).
* Repla in thi	zcement : s opinio	sheets which have been furn on as "originally filed".	nished to the receiving Office in response to ar	n invitation under Article 14 are referred to
			<del></del>	

International application No.

PCT/US00/29809

V.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-37	YES
	Claims		NO NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	1-37	YES
•	Claims	38-79	NO
	<b>~</b> .	1.70	
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-79	YES
	Claime	NONE	NO

#### 2. citations and explanations

Claims 38-79 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Hendricks (WO 95 95649).

Considering claim 38, Hendricks discloses an apparatus for providing electronic books comprising:

- a) a main memory (600) (figure 6a, 8);
- b) a main interface that receives a request for a main section of an electronic book (see index, menu and/or pages of the book as disclosed on page 18, lines 18-20, figures 10-12);
- c) a main processor that locates the requested main section (628, figure 8).

Claims 38-50 are met by the various sections of the electronic book in figures 1-18b.

Considering claims 51 and 65, Hendricks discloses a computer-readable medium containing instructions for controlling an electronic book delivery system, comprising:

- a) storage module (1040, 1024);
- b) a broadcast module (channel modulators);
- c) an electronic book order module (page 24, line 25, figure 14b, 14d-14f and 14i) that receives and processes orders from the readers.

Claims 52-64 and 66-78 are met by the ordering procedures in association with electronic book stored at the operations center, cable station or library unit disclosed throughout the Hendricks document.

Considering claim 79, Hendricks discloses a user interface for ordering and receiving electronic books comprising:

- a) display window that displays an indication of available electronic books (figure 14c or 14e);
- b) a cost window (figure 14e);
- c) a delivery selection section (14e); and
- d) an order button (figures 14b or 14e, 14f, 14i, 14j)

(Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

International application No.

PCT/US00/29809

#### VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

Application No. Patent No.

Publication Date (day/month/ye

Filing Date
(day/month/ye

Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

US, A, 6,034,680

US, A, 6,052,717

07 MARCH 2000 18 APRIL 2000 30 APRIL 1997 23 OCTOBER 1996 25 APRIL 1997

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

Kind of non-written disclosure

Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

International application No.

PCT/US00/29809

Suppl	lemental	Box
-------	----------	-----

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes 1 - VIII

Sheet 10

#### TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

## V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued):

Claims 1-37 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a virtual on-demand electronic book system comprising a main memory, a queuing processor, first and second queues or a broadcast module, an electronic book ordering module, a packet assemble module, queue selection module and a queue service module as recited in the claims.

WO 95 15649 A (HENDRICKS et al.) 08 June 1995, whole document US 4,855,725 A (FERNANDEZ) 08 August 1989, figures 1-3